Effect of different types of cryoprotectants on developmental capacity of vitrified-thawed immature buffalo oocytes

K.H. El-Shahat^{1,3}, A.M. Hammam²

¹Department of Theriogenology , Faculty of Veterinary Medicine , Cairo University ²Department of Animal Reproduction and Artificial Insemination, National Research Center, Dokki, Egypt.

Abstract

Studies were conducted to compare viability of immature buffalo oocytes vitrified in different types of cryoprotectants on the post-thaw morphological appearance, the *in vitro* maturation and developmental competence of buffalo oocytes. The vitrification solution (VS) consisted of Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS) supplemented with 0.5 M sucrose, 0.4% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and different types of molar (M) concentrations of the cryoprotectants which were composed of either glycerol (G), ethylene glycol (EG) or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in order to determine the best type of vitrification cryoprotectants. The concentrations tested were 4 M, 7 M and 7M concentration of G, EG and DMSO, respectively. Cumulus oocyte complexes (COCs) were obtained from slaughterhouse ovaries. Oocytes and their cumulus cells were vitrified immediately after collection .The COCs were preequilibrated in 50% of the VS for 3-5 min, then kept in VS for 1 min and loaded in pre-sterilized 0.25 ml straws for 7-10 days of storage in liquid nitrogen. The straws were thawed in warm water at 37°C for 10 s. The COCs were equilibrated in 0.5 M sucrose in modified phosphate buffer (M-PBS) for 5 min and then washed in washing medium (TCM-199 plus 10% FCS). Oocytecumulus cells were evaluated for morphological damage. Morphologically normal COCs (Oocytecumulus cells) were cultured in vitro and evaluated for maturation. Oocytes were fertilized with frozen-thawed semen capacitated in Brackett and Oliphant (BO) medium containing heparin and caffeine and were evaluated for cleavage and embryonic development. The results revealed that the proportion of buffalo oocytes found to be morphologically normal was significantly (P < 0.05) higher in EG and DMSO than those obtained in G (85.0 and 83.33 vs. 65.0%, respectively). Among the damaged oocytes, cracking of zona pellucida was the most frequent abnormality observed. A significantly higher (P < 0.05) percentage of maturation derived from vitrified -thawed immature oocyte in EG than those obtained in G (47.05, vs. 30.76%., respectively). A significantly higher proportion of oocytes were cleaved in EG and DMSO compared to those obtained in G (28.57 and 25.71 vs.

10.0%; P < 0.05, respectively). A similar trend was observed in blastocyst stage produced *in vitro*. However, *in vitro* developmental competence was higher for vitrified-thawed fresh oocytes (control) than those obtained from all groups of cryoprotectants. In conclusion, the 7 M solution of EG or DMSO could be used for vitrification of immature buffalo oocytes for their subsequent utilization in the in *vitro* maturation, fertilization and embryo production.

Keywords: *Bubalus bubalis*, dimethyl sulfoxide, ethylene glycol, fertilization, glycerol, *in vitro* maturation, straw, ultra rapid freezing.

Introduction

The cryopreservation of mammalian embryos has become an integral part of methods to control animal reproduction. Cryopreservation is of practical importance for the development of reproductive technologies such as nuclear transfer, cryobanking of animal species and the routine or commercial application of breed improvement such as embryo transfer (Leibo et al., 1996; Wani, 2002). Several cryopreservation methods such as conventional (slow), equilibrium rapid freezing (vitrification) and ultra rapid freezing have been used to preserve embryos and oocytes of many animal species resulting in the birth of live offspring. Vitrification, which is a relatively recent approach, is defined as a physical process by which a highly concentrated solution of cryoprotectants solidifies during cooling, without formation of ice crystals (Niemann, 1991). Vitrification is a common method for cryopreservation of gametes and embryos. Although successful oocyte vitrification has been achieved in several animal species, subsequent progress is still limited especially in buffalo (Mahmoud et al., 2013). Many compounds act as cryoprotectants and are used for protection of cells against freezing. There are two types of cryoprotectants: (a) membrane permeating which can freely diffuse the membrane such as glycerol (G), ethylene glycol (EG) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO); (b) non membrane permeating which cannot permeate the cell membrane such as sugars (Pedro et al., 2005). The primary problem with cooling or cryopreservation of oocytes is the low percentage of

³Corresponding author: attiakh@yahoo.com Phone: +20(2)6468-8386; Fax: +20(2)3572-5240 Received: April 25, 2014 Accepted: September 16, 2014

oocytes retaining the ability to undergo normal maturation and fertilization. This may be attributed to the exposure to the cryoprotectant and the cooling process (Le Gal, 1996). To improve the effectiveness of vitrification of buffalo oocytes, the present study evaluated the comparative effect of cryoprotectants (glycerol, dimethyl sulfoxide and ethylene glycerol) on the developmental capacity of vitrified-thawed immature buffalo oocytes.

Materials and Methods

Collection of oocytes

Buffalo ovaries were collected from an abattoir and were transported within 2 h to the laboratory in 0.9% normal saline supplemented with antibiotics (100 ug/ml streptomycin and 100 iu/ml penicillin) at 37°C. Follicular oocytes (3-8 mm in diameter) were aspirated using 20-gauge needle attached to a 5 ml syringe. The aspiration medium consisted of M-PBS (modified phosphate buffer saline) supplemented with 10% FCS (Fetal calf serum. Sigma, USA). All the aspirated cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs) with homogenous cytoplasm were used in the study. The oocytes were washed three times in Tissue Culture medium (TCM-199, HEPES modification with Earle's salt and Lglutamine, Sigma, USA) plus 10% FCS and 1% antibiotic - antimycotic (Gibco, Switzerland).

Vitrification of oocytes

Freshly collected oocytes and their cumulus cells were cryopreserved by ultra rapid cooling as described previously by Das (1997) with some modifications. The vitrification solution (VS) comprised of Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS) supplemented with 0.5 M sucrose, 0.4% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and different types of molar (M) concentrations of the cryoprotectants which are either glycerol (G), ethylene glycol (EG) or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in order to determine the best type of vitrification cryoprotectants. The concentrations tested were 4 M, 7 M and 7M concentration for G, EG and DMSO, respectively (Yadav et al., 2008). The oocytes were pre-equilibrated in 50% of the vitrification solution (prepared by dilution of VS in DPBS) for 3-5 min and then kept in VS for 1 min and loaded (4-5 oocytes per straw) in pre-sterilized 0.25 ml straws (IMV, France). The straws were heat-sealed and precooled by keeping the straws over liquid nitrogen level (LN_2) vapour for 2 min at the height of about 5 cm from LN_2 . The straws were then plunged in LN_2 and stored for 7-10 days.

Thawing and evaluation

The straws were warmed rapidly by transferring them to a water bath at 37°C for 10 s. The

content of the vitrified straw was emptied in a 35 mm Petri dish and the oocytes were allowed a 5 min equilibration in warm 10% sucrose solution in M-PBS for one-step dilution. The oocytes were washed 3 times in TCM-199 plus 10% FCS.

Oocyte-cumulus cells were evaluated as mentioned previously (Dhali et al., 2000) by their postmorphological appearance under stereo thaw microscope. Normal oocytes have spherical and symmetrical shape with no signs of lysis, membrane damage, swelling, degeneration or leakage of the cellular content; but abnormal oocytes show ruptured zona pellucida or fragmented cytoplasm with signs of degeneration. The survival percentage was calculated as the proportion of normal oocytes against the total number vitrified. Only the oocytes with complete compact dense cumulus oophorus after vitrificationthawing were used for IVM. The cryoprotectant was removed by placing oocytes in 50% VS and then transferred to DPBS. The morphologically normal oocytes were matured and fertilized in vitro. Freshly collected oocvtes were simultaneously matured in vitro and kept as control.

In vitro maturation (IVM)

The morphologically normal oocytes were cultured in 50 μ l of TCM-199 + 10% FCS and 1% antibiotic - antimycotic covered with mineral oil (Sigma, USA) in four-well culture plate (10 to 15 oocytes per droplet) for 24 h in a Co₂ incubator (5% Co₂ and 95% relative humidity) at 39°C. In addition, a number of COCs was run as a control group in each *vitro* maturation trial. Maturation was assessed by expansion of cumulus cell mass (Schellander *et al.*, 1989).

Sperm capacitation and in vitro fertilization of frozenthawed buffalo oocytes (IVF)

Fertilization was performed with frozenthawed semen which was capacitated in BO medium (Brackett and Oliphant, 1975) containing 3.88 ml sodium caffeine benzoate (Sigma, Chemical Co. USA) and 0.02 mg/ml heparin (Sigma, USA). The spermatozoa were washed twice by centrifugation at 1850 rpm for 5 min. The sperm suspension was then diluted 1:1 with BO medium containing 20 mg/ml BSA serum. albumin. fraction V. (bovine Fluka. Switzerland). The concentration of sperm for fertilization was 5 to 8 x 10^6 cells /ml of BO medium (Niawa et al., 1991). After 24 h, matured oocytes were washed 3 times in BSA-containing BO medium, and were co-cultured for 5 h under the same condition in Co₂ incubator. After insemination the oocytes were washed in TCM-199+10% FCS and 1% antibioticantimycotic 3 times and incubated for 5-6 days in Co₂ incubator. The frequency of morula and/or blastocyst was recorded.

Statistical analysis

The experiment was replicated 5 times. The data were analyzed using Chi-square analysis according to Snedecor and Cochran (1976).

Results

Survival and morphological evaluations of vitrifiedthawed oocytes:

Table 1 illustrates the morphological evaluations immediately after warming of vitrified buffalo oocytes using straws in different cryoprotectants. The proportion of buffalo oocvtes found to be morphologically normal was significantly (P < 0.05) higher in EG and DMSO than those obtained in G (85.0 and 83.33 vs. 65.0%, respectively) after vitrification-thawing. Furthermore, the proportion of damaged oocytes was significantly (P < 0.05) higher in G (35.0%) than those in EG (15.0%) and DMSO (16.66%). Various morphological abnormalities observed in oocytes after vitrificationthawing included cracking of zona pellucida, leakage of cellular content and shrinkage of cytoplasm but without significant difference among various cryoprotectants of buffalo oocytes (Table 2). Among the damaged oocytes, cracking of zona pellucida was the most frequent abnormality observed.

Table 1. Incidence of immature buffalo oocytes recovered with normal morphology after vitrification-thawing among different cryoprotectants.

Types of	Number of oocytes	Number of morphological normal	Number of damaged
cryoprotectant	vitrified	oocyte-cumulus cells (%)	oocytes (%)
EG	100	85 (85.00) ^a	15 (15.00) ^a
DMSO	90	75 (83.33) ^a	15 (16.66) ^a
G	100	65 (65.00) ^b	35 (35.00) ^b

Within the same column values with different superscripts $(^{a,b})$ are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Types of cryoprotectant	Number of oocytes — damaged		Types of damage	
		Cracking of zona pellucida (%)	Shrinkage of cytoplasm (%)	Leakage of cellular content (%)
EG	15	8 (53.34)	3 (20.0)	4 (26.67)
DMSO	15	9 (60.00)	2 (13.34)	4 (26.67)
G	35	20 (57.14)	5 (14.28)	10 (28.57)

Table 2. Types of damage observed in buffalo oocytes after vitrification-thawing.

In vitro maturation and fertilization of vitrified- thawed oocytes

The maturation rates of buffalo oocytes after thawing and removal of cryoprotectant are illustrated in Table 3. A significantly higher (P < 0.05) proportion of oocytes were matured in the non-vitrified control buffalo oocytes compared to vitrified immature oocytes in EG, DMSO and G. Internal group comparison revealed that vitrified-thawed oocytes in EG and DMSO had higher maturation rates compared to G groups (47.05 and 46.67 *vs.* 30.76%; respectively). However, only significant differences (P < 0.05) existed between EG and G groups for the maturation rate of oocytes. As shown in Table 4, a significantly higher proportion of oocytes were cleaved in EG and DMSO compared to G (28.57 and 25.71 vs 10.0%; P < 0.05, respectively). A similar trend was observed in blastocyst produced *in vitro* while the percentage of morula stage did not significantly vary among the different groups. On the other hand, the *in vitro* cleavage and blastocyst stage were significantly (P < 0.05) lower in oocytes cryopreserved in EG, DMSO and G as compared to control.

Table 3. Maturation rates of buffalo oocytes vitrified in different cryoprotectants.

Types of cryoprotectant	Number of oocytes cultured	Number of oocyte matured (%)
EG	85	40 (47.05) ^b
DMSO	75	35 (46.67) ^{bc}
G	65	20 (30.76) ^c
control	100	65 (65.00) ^a

Within the same column values with different superscripts $(^{a,b,c})$ are significantly different (P < 0.05).

El-Shahat and Hamam. Cryoprotectant and freezing of buffalo oocyte.

Types of	Number of	Cleavage rate	Morula stage	Blastocyst stage
cryoprotectant	fertilized oocytes	Number (%)	Number (%)	Number (%)
EG	40	10 (28.57) ^b	$2(20.00)^{a}$	1 (10.00) ^b
DMSO	35	9 (25.71) ^b	$2(22.23)^{a}$	$1 (11.12)^{b}$
G	20	$5(10.00)^{c}$	$1(20.00)^{a}$	$0(0.00)^{c}$
control	65	30 (46.15) ^a	$8(26.67)^{a}$	$8(26.67)^{a}$

-1 (1) / (V) $\pm i$ V (V) /	Table 4. Cleavage and develo	opment rates of buffalo oocy	vtes vitrified in different cryoprotectants.
--	------------------------------	------------------------------	--

Within the same column values with different superscripts $\binom{a,b,c}{a}$ are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Discussion

In the present study the rate of morphologically normal immature buffalo oocytes following vitrification thawing was higher in EG and DMSO than those obtained in G. These findings compare favorably with previous reports of Dhali et al. (2000), Wani et al. (2004) and Yadav et al. (2008) in buffaloes. Furthermore, Mahmoud et al (2013) reported that the rate of morphologically intact oocytes following vitrification/warming was high, ranging from 87.7% in straws to 90.8% in Cryotops using a mixture of 3 M DMSO + 3 M EG. It has been observed that the immature germinal vesicle stage oocytes tolerate the cryopreservation damage more efficiently compared to oocytes at metaphase-II and cumulus compact oocytes are less vulnerable to cryo-injuries compared to their denuded counterpart. The vitrification treatment does not affect the proportion of oocytes with intact morphology after warming, although it has been reported that mammalian oocytes are very sensitive to high concentration of cryoprotectant (Purohit et al., 2012). The cumulus cell removal prior to in vitro maturation or vitrification has shown a detrimental effect on oocyte morphology for both immature and mature vitrified equine (Tharasanit et al., 2009), and bovine oocytes (Modina et al., 2004). The damaged oocvtes recorded herein following vitrification thawing may be due to the large lipid-like material found in oocytes of many species, since lipid removal or lipid polarization reduces chill- and cryo-injury (Otoi et al., 1997).

In our study the survival rate of in vitro matured, vitrified-thawed buffalo oocytes in G was 30.76 % as assessed by expansion of cumulus cell mass. This result is in accordance with Hammam and El Shahat (2005) in buffalo, but still lower than the results of El-Shahat and Hammam (2012) who reported a 63.63% maturation rate in sheep depending on the same previous assessment of maturation. This difference may be due to different concentration of G and species differences. In spite of the present high recovery rate of morphologically normal oocytes in all types of cryoprotectant, the maturation rate was lower in vitrified-thawed oocytes than those in control. The lower maturation rate may be the consequence of several factors including the one-step dilution procedure employed after warming, as well as the ultra-rapid temperature changes during vitrification and warming,

membranes and structures in the ooplasm (Yoon et al., 2000; Mahmoud et al., 2010a, b). The freeze-thaw process is known to induce an alteration in the physicchemical properties of intracellular lipids (Kim et al., 2001) and such damages may render the oocyte incapable of retaining its developmental competence. Despite the protective effects of cryoprotectants during freezing they may impose concentration-, time- and temperature-dependant toxicity (Fahy et al., 1984). The maturation rate recorded herein was highest in EG and DMSO followed by the G group. Mahmoud et al. (2013) observed that EG and DMSO were used for the cryopreservation of buffalo oocytes with the straw method. This is due to their low molecular weight; these compounds can easily permeate through cell membranes rapidly (25-30 s) to achieve concentration equilibrium across the cell membrane. Moreover, EG, because of its high permeability and low cytotoxicity, has been found to be convenient for vitrification of bovine and equine oocytes (Hurtt et al., 2000; Cetin and Bastan, 2006). In our investigation, the developmental capacity of the oocytes vitrified in glycerol was lower compared to those in EG or DMSO. These findings were in accordance with the previous study in buffaloes (Yadav et al., 2008). Glycerol induces osmotic damage to the cytoplasm owing to its low membrane permeability (Szell et al., 1989). Osmotic stress produced by the cryoprotectants has deleterious effect on survival of mature bovine oocytes (Martino et al., 1996). The low permeability of the cells to glycerol may increase the risk of osmotic stress during thawing and dilution as the water enters the cells more quickly than the glycerol is lost. This could explain the poor survival rate and thus rates subsequent maturation with embryonic development of oocytes vitrified in glycerol. On other hand, the developmental capacity of the vitrified-thawed immature buffalo oocytes was significantly lower compared to control in the present study. This could be due to the use of immature rather than mature oocytes for vitrification, since the developmental ability of oocytes frozen at germinal vesicle vesicle-stage (immature) has been reported to be much lower than that of in vivo or in vitro matured oocytes in mice (Schroeder et al., 1990) and cattle (Lim et al., 1992). Matured oocytes are more resistant to cryopreservation than immature ones (Isachenko et al., 1998). The cytoskeleton of the first meiotic division in immature oocytes is particularly susceptible to damage. Matured

which may have caused extensive damage to

oocvtes display a more flexible cvtoskeleton, which may be one reason that they are less subjected to cryodamage (Allworth and Albertini, 1993). In addition, the French straw is a popular cryodevice (packaging system) as it is inexpensive, and cells and tissues remain sterile. However, vitrification of bovine oocytes in 0.25ml straws causes a delay in heat loss from the solutions. possibly leading to devitrification, i.e. intracellular recrystallization during warming (Morató et al., 2008). Considerable progress has been made in increasing cooling and warming rates by developing alternative packaging systems (Succu et al., 2007). Cryodevices such as OPS (Vajta et al., 1998) and Cryotops (Kuwayama et al., 2007) have drastically improved the cooling rate by reducing the surface to volume ratio and thus exposing the minuscule vitrification drop directly to LN2 (Liebermann et al., 2002).

In conclusion, 7M solution of EG or DMSO can be used for vitrification of immature buffalo oocytes for their utilization in the *vitro* maturation and fertilization as well as embryonic production.

References

Allworth AE, Albertini DF. 1993. Meiotic maturation in cultured bovine oocytes is accompanied by remodeling of the cumulus cell cytoskeleton. *Dev Biol*, 158:101:112.

Brackett BG, Oliphant G. 1975. Capacitation of rabbit spermatozoa in vitro. *Biol Reprod*, 12:260-274.

Cetin Y, Bastan A. 2006. Cryopreservation of immature bovine oocytes by vitrification in straws. *Anim Reprod Sci*, 92:29-36.

Das S K. 1997. Vitrification procedure for cryopreservation of oocytes. *In*: In Vitro Fertilization, Embryo Transfer and Associated Techniques in Farm Animals. Karnal, India: NDRI. pp. 69-72.

Dhali A, Manik RS, Das SK, Singla SK, Palta P. 2000. Effect of ethylene glycol concentration and exposure time on post-vitrification survival and IVM rate of buffalo oocytes. *Theriogenology*, 50:521-530.

El Shahat KH, Hammam AMM. 2012. A comparative study of vitrification and slow freezing on subsequent developmental capacity of immature sheep oocytes. *Theriogenology Insight*, 2:145-152.

Fahy GM, MacFarlane D, Angell A, Meryman, HT. 1984. Vitrification as an approach to cryopreservation. *Cryobiology*, 21:407-426.

Hammam AMM, El Shahat KH. 2005. Vitrification of immature and mature buffalo oocytes in glycerol solution by a simple method. *In*: Proceedings of the XII ISAH Congress on Animal Hygiene, 2005, Warsaw, Poland. vol. 1, pp. 252-255.

Hurtt AE, Squire EL, Seidel JR. 2000. Vitrification of equine and bovine oocytes in an ethylene glycol, ficoll and sucrose use open pulled straws. *Theriogenology*, 51:166. (abstract).

Isachenko V, Solar C, Isachenko E, Perez-Sanchez F,

Grishchenko V. 1998. Vitrification of immature porcine oocytes: effect of lipid droplets, temperature, cytoskeleton, and addition and removal of cryoprotectants. *Cryobiology*, 36:250-253.

Kim JY, Kinoshita M, Ohnishi M, Fukui Y. 2001. Lipid and fatty acid analysis of fresh and frozen-thawed immature and in vitro matured bovine oocytes. *Reproduction*, 122:131-138.

Kuwayama M, Cobo A, Vajta G. 2007. Vitrification of oocytes: general considerations and the use of the Cryotop method. *In*: Tucker MJ, Liebermann J (Ed.). *Vitrification in Assisted Reproduction*. London, UK: Informa Healthcare. pp. 119-128.

Le Gal F. 1996. In vitro maturation and fertilization of goat oocytes frozen at the germinal vesicle stage. *Theriogenology*, 45:1177-1185.

Leibo SP, Martino A, Kobaysashi S, Pollard JW. 1996. Stage-dependent sensitivity of oocytes and embryos to low temperature. *Anim Reprod Sci*, 42:45-53.

Liebermann J, Tucker MJ, Graham JR, Han T, Davis A, Levy MJ. 2002. Blastocyst development after vitrification of multipronuclear zygotes using the Flexipet denuding pipette. *Reprod Biomed Online*, 4:146-150.

Lim JM, Fukui Y, One H. 1992. Developmental competence of bovine oocytes frozen at various maturation stage followed by in vitro maturation and fertilization. *Theriogenology*, 37:351-362.

Mahmoud KGM, El-Sokary MMM, Scholkamy TH, Abou El-Roos MEA, Sosa GAM, Nawito MF. 2010a. The effect of cry device and cryoprotectant concentration on buffalo oocytes vitrified at MII stage. *Anim Reprod*, 10:689-696.

Mahmoud KGM, Scholkamy TH, Ahmed YF, Seidel GE Jr, Nawito MF. 2010b. Effect of different combinations of cryoprotectants on in vitro maturation of immature buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) oocytes vitrified by straw and open-pulled straw methods. *Reprod Domest Anim*, 45:565-571.

Mahmoud KGM, El-Sokary MMM, Scholkamy Abou El-Roos MEA. Sosa GAM, Nawito MF. 2013. The effect of cryodevice and cryoprotectant concentration on buffalo oocytes vitrified at MII stage. *Anim Reprod*, 10:689-696.

Martino A, Songsasen N, Leibo SP. 1996. Developmental into blastocysts of bovine cryopreserved by ultra rapid cooling. *Biol Reprod*, 54:1059-1069.

Modina S, Beretta M, Lodde V, Lauria A, Luciano AM. 2004. Cytoplasmic changes and developmental competence of bovine oocytes cryopreserved without cumulus cells. *Eur J Histochem*, 48:337-346.

Morató R, Izquierdo D, Paramio MT, Mogas T. 2008. Cryotops versus open-pulled straws (OPS) as carriers for the cryopreservation of bovine oocytes: effects on spindle and chromosome configuration and embryo development. *Cryobiology*, 57:137-141.

Niawa K, Park CK, Okuda K. 1991. Penetration in

vitro of bovine oocytes during maturation by frozenthawed spermatozoa. *J Reprod Fertil*, 91:329-336.

Niemann H. 1991. Cryopreservation of ova and embryos from livestock: current status and research needs. *Theriogenology*, 35: 109-123.

Otoi T, Yamamoto K, Tachikawa S, Murakami M, Kikkawa Y, Suzuki T. 1997. Cryopreservation of mature bovine oocytes following centrifugation treatment. *Cryobiology*, 34:36-41.

Pedro PB, Yokoyama E, Zhu SE, Yoshida N, Valdez DM Jr, Tanaka M, Edashige K, Kasai M. 2005. Permeability of mouse oocytes and embryos at various developmental stages to five cryoprotectants. *J Reprod Dev*, 51:235-246.

Purohit GN, Meena H, Solanki K. 2012. Effects of vitrification on immature and in vitro matured, denuded and cumulus compact goat oocytes and their subsequent fertilization. *J Reprod Infertil*, 13:53-59.

Schellander K, Fayre-Hosken RA, Keefer CL, Brown LM, Malter H, Bride CEM, Brackett BG. 1989. In vitro ferilization of bovine follicular oocytes recovered by laparoscopy. *Theriogenology*, 31:927-934. Schroeder AC, Champlin AK, Mobraatin LE, Eppig JJ. 1990. Developmental capacity of mouse oocytes cryopreserved before and after maturation in vitro. *J Reprod Fertil*, 89:43-50.

Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. 1976. *Statistical Methods*. 6th ed. Ames: Iowa State Univ Press.

Succu S, Leoni GG, Bebbere D, Berlinguer F, Mossa F, Bogliolo L, Madeddu M, Ledda S, Naitana S. 2007. Vitrification devices affect structural and

molecular status of in vitro matured ovine oocytes. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 74:1337-1344.

Szell A, Shelton JN, Szell K. 1989. Osmotic characteristics of sheep and cattle embryos. *Cryobiology*, 26:297-301.

Tharasanit T, Colleoni S, Galli C, Colenbrander B, Stout TA. 2009. Protective effects of the cumuluscorona radiata complex during vitrification of horse oocytes. *Reproduction*, 137:391-401.

Vajta G, Holm P, Kuwayama M, Booth PJ, Jacobson H, Greve T, Callesen H. 1998. Open pulled straws (OPS) vitrification: a new way to reduce cryoinjuries of bovine oocytes and embryos. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 51:53-58.

Wani NA. 2002. In vitro maturation and in vitro fertilization in sheep: a review. *Small Rumin Res*, 36:63-67.

Wani NA, Maurya SN, Misra AK, Saxena VB, Lakhchaura BD. 2004. Effect of cryoprotectants and their concentration in vitro development of vitrified-warmed immature oocytes in buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*). *Theriogenology*, 61:831-842.

Yadav RC, Sharma A, Garg N, Purohi GN. 2008. Survival of vitrified water buffalo cumulus oocyte complexes and their subsequent development in vitro. *Bulgarian J Vet Med*, 11:55-64.

Yoon TK, Chung JM, Lim SY, Han, Ko JJ, Cha KY. 2000. Pregnancy and delivery of healthy infants developed from vitrified oocytes in a stimulated in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer programme. *Fertil Steril*, 74:180-181.